

**Written Comments on Draft Revisions to the
LTLS Resource Access Policy**
Comments Received as of May 17, 2005

Resource Access Policy Comment	Response
<p>Under 'Reciprocal Borrowing', Item A1, would you consider printing the URL for ELI? I had one heck of a time finding the ELI URL in order to renew Novelist and finally had to e-mail the state library to get it. It's not easily searchable even in 'Find It'. Hopefully the URL won't change for awhile and date the policy. I noticed the elimination of the 60% requirement for Reciprocal Borrowing. The old requirement was supposed to prevent patrons from overusing neighboring libraries and libraries from not building adequate collections. I see pros and cons to eliminating the percentage and wondered why this was changed. What was the reasoning?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Good idea. The URL for ELI has been added.
<p>Paragraph 3 says that non-resident card holders can only use their cards at the issuing library. Wasn't that changed by state law a couple of years ago? This is also mentioned in the last paragraph under section 3 and throughout rest of document.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• This comment refers to language in the original policy version that is updated in the current revised version.

<p>We would like to see a statement that will specifically allow local holds policies as determined by the library owning the material. Local holds practices might be seen as in opposition to paragraph B1.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Local holds are not part of this policy. • Want to give reciprocal borrowing patrons the same treatment as local patrons. • If reciprocal borrowers come in to the library we should not treat them differently. • Libraries do not know which patrons are reciprocal borrowers until they come to the checkout desk. • If they are treated differently, this will result in poor public relations. • This would not be in the interest of the collaboration and cooperation that has been the cornerstone of LTLS policy for the past 40 years. • Not incorporated into the policy
<p>Section B.4. - What does this mean? Reciprocal Access - Multitype Reciprocal Borrowing - would like to hear more about this especially between local public and local school systems.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No changes needed.